

INCUMBENT

No

CAMPAIGN EMAILadmin@PatrickSmithForDelaware.com**CAMPAIGN PHONE**

(302) 329-8852

CAMPAIGN WEBSITE<http://www.PatrickSmithForDelaware.com>

Candidate-submitted response. The answers below were received through the 2026 DFPC Action candidate survey form and are reproduced as submitted, with only formatting changes. Publicly researched positions were not used. Publication does not constitute an endorsement by DFPC Action.

- 1 1. Do you support legislation that protects preborn human life from abortion, including prohibiting taxpayer funding, and rejecting abortion as a right in the Delaware State Constitution? (References: SB 317 (2024); HB 110 (2024); SB 301 (2024); SB 232 (2024); HB 31 (2023); HB 400 (2022); SB 251 (2026); SB 252 (2026))**

RESPONSE Yes

Unborn life holds the same merit as life outside of the womb. Abortion should never be included in the Delaware Constitution. I believe abortion is an elective process, unless it is designated as a life-saving measure for the mother or to terminate pregnancies that are a result of rape or incest. Thus, taxpayers should not be held responsible to fund abortions nor biased education on abortion, as a generalization. Especially considering abortion could be in direct contradiction to a person's faith. It is my firm hope that we seek to provide more effective resources for parenting and instill the value of life in new parents rather than suggesting abortion is a practical acceptable alternative.

- 2 2. Do you support legislation that holds the abortion industry accountable and liable for medical malpractice, negligence in providing informed consent, and removing the safeguards meant to protect women? (References: SB 252 (2026); HB 31 (2021); HB 400 (2022), HB 320 (2022); SB 301 (2024))**

RESPONSE Yes

Like any other medical malpractice, the abortion industry should be held to the same standards and have the same exposure to the greatest extent of the law. 'Protecting women's health' has been a guise for the abortion industry and it is at times the reverse that can occur during these procedures especially where the quality of the care is not being regulated for the highest safety protocols. Offering Ultrasounds (SB 252) is a means of reinforcing the precious nature of unborn life and that, while unborn, the baby is a living being. I support these additional efforts of informed consent. My opponent, Bryan Shupe, supported HB 31 while I would adamantly have opposed the measure which aimed to decriminalize abortion in Delaware and did pass the House, 28-13.

- 3 3. Do you oppose legalized assisted suicide and attempts to expand it? (References: HB 140 (2024))**

RESPONSE Yes

- 4 4. Do you support amending the Delaware Constitution to explicitly protect the fundamental right of parents to direct the upbringing, education, and care of their children? (References: HB 319 (2022))**

RESPONSE Yes

As a former DFS Child Abuse Investigator, I have seen the importance of sound parenting and the unprecedented impact that parents have on their children. The government need-not impede on a parent's right to have sole oversight of their children unless the well-being of a child is in grave serious danger. This applies to educational transparency that allows parents to know what their child is being taught in school.

5 5. Do you support holding medical providers legally accountable and liable for performing experimental, permanent, or irreversible medical interventions on minors that interrupt and pause puberty, introduce cross sex hormones, and amputate body parts? (References: HB 346 (2024); SB 55 (2025))

RESPONSE Yes

It is my opinion that gender-altering procedures should be unlawful on minors. Furthermore, malpractice or harm induced during any of these procedures should be prosecuted to the furthest extent of the law.

6 6. Do you support legislation that protects the fundamental rights of children to know and be known by their biological mother and father, prohibits changing a child's sex on their birth certificate, and protects family biological connection between parents and children? (References: SB 250 (2026); HB 375 (2026))

RESPONSE Yes

If children and parents voluntarily choose to know each other there should not be any government barrier to do so.

7 7. Do you oppose policies that embed sexually explicit material and comprehensive sexuality frameworks into school libraries and public school curricula, particularly when they conflict with obscenity laws, parental rights, or religious beliefs? (References: HB 199 (2021); SB 97 (2024); HB 119 (2025); SCR 156 (2026))

RESPONSE Yes

I firmly believe it is the right of the parent to share sexual education with their children at their discretion. While the biology of humans is based on legacy science, there are differing beliefs referring to gender identity and sexual preferences. Schools should not be tasked with exposing children to sexually explicit topics.

8 8. Do you support legislation that preserves sex-based protections in athletics to ensure fairness, safety, and equal opportunity for female athletes? (References: SB 191 (2024); SB 215 (2026))

RESPONSE Yes

I am a vocal opponent to males in women's sports. Title IX was established to protect women's sports and should be upheld to ensure the competitiveness of women's sports and safety of the participants.

9 9. Do you support protecting individuals, churches, schools, and faith-based ministries from government penalties when operating according to sincerely held religious beliefs regarding sexuality, marriage, and human life? (References: HB 199 (2021); HB 74 (2023); HB 275 (2024); SB 169 (2021); SB 100 (2025); HB 37 (2025))

RESPONSE Yes

Such organizations have protections under freedom of speech and assembly. The State should not impede on these rights, beliefs, and practices so long as no human life is subject to harm or death. Especially if said entity is privately funded and not receiving any government subsidies.

10	10. Do you support ensuring Delaware law clearly protects free speech, religious expression, and public advocacy - while limiting criminal penalties only to physical violence, threats, or force? (References: HB 272 (2025); SB 300 (2024))
RESPONSE	Yes
	Right to free speech should be protected under the Constitution unless violence or physical harm is presented. If a person chooses to assemble with others, peacefully, then that is protected behavior.

Candidate responses are shown verbatim except for typographic normalization needed for document rendering.